

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## COMMONWEALTH v. PATTERSON et al.

March 18, 1920.

[102 S. E. 569.]

Taxation (§ 895 (1)\*)—Transfer Tax Determined by Value of Estate Passing to Each Beneficiary, and Not by Value of Whole Estate.

—The amount of the inheritance tax, under Acts 1916, p. 812. c. 484, should be determined by the value of the estate passing to each beneficiary, and not by the value of the whole estate; the beneficiary's share not being subject to the tax until the statutory exemption has been deducted therefrom.

Error to Chancery Court of Richmond.

Proceedings by A. W. Patterson and others for an order fixing transfer tax. Order rendered, and the Commonwealth brings error. Affirmed.

The Attorney General and E. Warren Wall, of Richmond, for the Commonwealth.

A. W. Patterson, of Richmond, for defendants in error.

## CUMMING v. CUMMING.

March 18, 1920.

[102 S. E. 572.]

- 1. Divorce (§ 37 (22)\*)—Cruelty of Wife, Not Causing Husband's Desertion, Must be Disregarded in Her Suit.—Where the conduct of a wife, relied on by the husband as constituting cruelty to him, did not originally cause their separation, nor in any way cause its continuance, both wholly due to willful desertion by the husband, the wife's conduct must be disregarded as justification or excuse for the desertion by the husband.
- 2. Contracts (§ 111\*)—Antenuptial Contract between Parents of Illegitimate Child Illegal, because Contemplating Immediate Separation.—Antenuptial contract between father and mother of illegitimate child, whereby mother agreed to accept small weekly payments in full of her claims against the father's estate, having been actually made in contemplation of an immediate separation and desertion of the mother by the father, held illegal and void, and unenfo eable against either party.
  - [Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 9 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 580.]
- 3. Husband and Wife (§ 78 (3)\*)—Postnuptial Contract, Contemplating Separation, Illegal.—A postnuptial contract between hus-

<sup>\*</sup>For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.